arXiv:1303.1128v1 [math.DG] 5 Mar 2013

Geometry of bounded Fréchet manifolds

Kaveh Eftekharinasab

Abstract

In this paper we develop the geometry of bounded Fréchet manifolds. We prove that a bounded Fréchet tangent bundle admits a vector bundle structure. But the second order tangent bundle T^2M of a bounded Fréchet manifold M, becomes a vector bundle over M if and only if M possesses a linear connection. Another result which we prove is the existence and uniqueness of the integral curve of a vector field on M.

1 Introduction

In the suggestive paper [12], Müller defined a new notation of differentiability, the so-called bounded differentiability, between Fréchet spaces. In this definition a bounded differential operator is supposed to belong to the space of linear Lipschitz continuous maps which admits a topological group structure. In addition, he defined bounded maps (or MC^k-maps) and bounded Fréchet manifolds. The most important example of such manifolds is the space of smooth cross sections of a differentiable fibre bundle over a compact manifold.

Taking into account that in this setting the group of automorphisms has a topological group structure, we prove that bounded Fréchet tangent bundles are vector bundles. This fact permits us to define a connection via a connection map (cf. [14], [15]). Dodson and Galanis [2] proved that in the cases of Banach manifolds and those Fréchet manifolds which can be obtained as projective limit of Banach manifolds, in the presence of a linear connection the second order tangent bundle is a vector bundle. We obtain the similar result for bounded Fréchet manifolds. Culminating with these constructions, one can define vector fields, second order vector fields and sprays. Inspired by the work of Lang [10] on Banach manifolds, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the integral curve of a vector field.

It turned out with some exceptions (those facts which negatively influenced by the lack of a general solvability theory of ordinary differential equations) many results of the geometry of Banach manifolds can be worked out for bounded Fréchet manifolds. We should mention why these manifolds are important. The formulated approach which leads to construct such manifolds provides valuable tools to obtain new results which would be impossible to prove for usual Fréchet manifolds. For example, in [4] it was obtained the Sard's theorem and as we shall see we are able to define local geometric structures such as linear connection via a connection map. The defined connection for Fréchet manifolds (see [8]) does not imply that the Christoffel symbol $\Gamma_\alpha : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \to L(F \times F; F)$ is a tensor field (see [13]). Therefore, we need stronger definition (via a connection map) to prove that the curvature and torsion are tensors.

2010 MSC: Primary 58B25, 58A05; Secondry 37C10.

Keywords: Bounded Fréchet manifold, second order tangent bundle, connection, vector field.

2 Prerequisites

Our main reference for basic definitions and constructions regarding infinite dimensional manifolds is the book of Lang [10]. Although we use the terminologies of [4], we fix the following notations which we use frequently throughout this paper. We denote by (F, d) a Fréchet space whose topology is defined by a complete translational-invariant metric d. We set $\parallel f \parallel_d := d(f, o)$ for $f \in F$ and write $L.f$ instead of $L(f)$ when L is a linear map.

We are particularly interested in metrics with absolutely convex balls since many theorems are true just for this type of metrics; such metrics are called standard. Note that every Fréchet space admits a standard metric defining its topology: If α_n is an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero and ρ_n is any sequence of continuous semi-norms defining the topology of F, then

$$d_{\alpha,\,\rho}(e, f) = \sup \alpha_n \frac{\rho_n(e-f)}{1+\rho_n(e-f)}$$

is a metric on F with absolutely convex balls which defines its topology.

Suppose (E, g) is another Fréchet space. Let $\mathcal{L}_{g,d}(E, F)$ be the set of all globally Lipschitz linear maps, i.e. maps $L : E \to F$ such that for them:

$$\parallel L \parallel_{g,d} = \sup_{x \in E \backslash \{0\}} \frac{\parallel L.x \parallel_d}{\parallel x \parallel_g} < \infty.$$

We abbreviate $\mathcal{L}_g(E) = \mathcal{L}_{g,g}(E, E)$ and write $\parallel L \parallel_g = \parallel L \parallel_{g,g}$ for $L \in \mathcal{L}_g(E)$. If d is a standard metric, then

$$D_{g,d} : \mathcal{L}_{g,d}(E, F) \times \mathcal{L}_{g,d}(E, F) \longrightarrow [0, \infty) : \ (L, H) \mapsto \parallel L - H \parallel_{g,d} \tag{1}$$

is a translational-invariant metric on $\mathcal{L}_{d,g}(E, F)$ making it into an Abelian topological group; it is not a topological vector space in general, but a locally convex vector group with absolutely convex balls. We define inductively vector groups $\mathcal{L}^{(i+1)}_{g,d}(F, E) := (F, \mathcal{L}^{i}_{g,d}(F, E))$.

Convention. The terms bounded Fréchet tangent bundle and bounded Fréchet second order tangent bundle are too long, therefore, we drop the terminology "bounded Fréchet".

3 Constructions of TM and T^2M

We will need to consider the space all globally Lipschitz k-multilinear maps. We always equip the topological product of countably many Fréchet spaces with maximum metric.

Let $(F_1, d_1), \ldots, (F_k, d_k)$ and (F, d) be Fréchet spaces. The space of all globally Lipschitz k-multilinear maps, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{d_1,\ldots d_k,d}(F_1, \ldots, F_k; F)$, is the space of all k-multilinear maps $L : F_1 \times \ldots \times F_k \to F$ such that for all $f_i \in F_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$,

$$\parallel L \parallel_{d_1,\ldots,d_k,d} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\parallel L(f_1, \ldots, f_k) \parallel_d}{\parallel f_1 \parallel_{d_1} \cdots \parallel f_k \parallel_{d_k}} < \infty \;\middle|\; f_1, \ldots, f_k \neq 0 \right\}.$$

If d is the standard metric, again we define on the above space a metric $D_{d_1,\ldots,d_k,d}(L, H) = \parallel L - H \parallel_{d_1,\ldots,d_k,d}$ which makes it into an Abelian topological group.

In the next lemma we suppose $d_1, \ldots, d_k, d$ are fixed and omit the indices to simplify the notations.

Lemma 3.1 (Cartesian closedness). *There are canonical topological group isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(F_1,\mathcal{L}(F_2,\ldots,F_k;F)) &\cong \mathcal{L}(F_1,\ldots F_k;F)\\ &\cong \mathcal{L}(F_1,\ldots,F_{k-1};\mathcal{L}(F_k,F))\\ &\cong \mathcal{L}(F_{i_1},\ldots,F_{i_k};F)\end{aligned}$$

where $(i_1,\ldots,i_k)$ *is a permutation of* $(1,\ldots,k)$.

Proof. Define

$$\begin{gathered}K:\mathcal{L}(F_1,\mathcal{L}(F_2,\ldots,F_k;F))\to\mathcal{L}(F_1,\ldots F_k;F)\\ K(L(f_1)(f_2,\ldots,f_k))=\widehat{L}(f_1,\ldots,f_k).\end{gathered}$$

The association $L\overset{K}{\longmapsto}\widehat{L}$ is linear and group isomorphism. Since K is linear to prove continuity of K and its inverse we only need to show $\parallel L\parallel=\parallel\widehat{L}\parallel$. By straightforward verification we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\parallel L\parallel &= \sup\{\parallel L(f_1)(f_2,\ldots,f_k)\parallel \mid \parallel f_1\parallel\leqslant 1,\ldots,\parallel f_k\parallel\leqslant 1\}\\ &= \sup\left\{\parallel \widehat{L}(f_1,\ldots,f_k)\parallel \mid \parallel f_1\parallel\leqslant 1,\ldots,\parallel f_k\parallel\leqslant 1\right\}\\ &= \parallel K(L)=\widehat{L}\parallel .\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, other isomorphisms are proved. □

Tangent bundle. Let M be a bounded Fréchet manifold modeled on a Fréchet space F with standard metric. Let $\mathcal{MC}_p(M)$ be the set of all MC^∞- mappings $f:\mathbb{R}\to M$ which send *zero* to $p\in M$. We define on $\mathcal{MC}_p(M)$ an equivalence relation $\sim$ as follows: Let $\Phi=\{(U_\alpha,\varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a compatible atlas for M and let r be a fixed natural number. Let $f,g\in\mathcal{MC}_p(M)$ and suppose $(p\in U_\alpha,\varphi_\alpha)$ is an admissible chart. We say that f and g are equivalent and write $f\sim g$ if they satisfy the following:

$$(\varphi_\alpha\circ f)'(0)=(\varphi_\alpha\circ g)'(0),\cdots,(\varphi_\alpha\circ f)^r(0)=(\varphi_\alpha\circ g)^r(0), \tag{2}$$

where r runs over the set of natural numbers, but we will restrict ourself to the cases $r=1$ and $r=2$. It follows from the chain rule for MC^k-maps (see [7, Lemma B.1 (f)]) that the equivalency at a point p is well defined. An equivalent class containing a mapping $f\in\mathcal{MC}_p(M)$ is called r-jet of f at p and is denoted by $j^r_p f$.

Let TM be the set of all 1-jets of M and let $\pi_M:TM\to M$ be a natural projection. If the topology of TM is transformed via π_M to each 1-jet, then TM is called tangent bundle over M. The fibre $\pi_M^{-1}(p)$ is the tangent space $T_p(M)$. Let $f:M\to N$ be an MC^k-map of manifolds. The tangent map $Tf:TM\to TN$ is defined by $Tf(j^1_p(f))=j^1_{f(p)}(f\circ f)$.

We need the following basic facts to construct a trivializing atlas and a vector bundle structure for TM:

Lemma 3.2. *(i) Let* $f:M\to N$ *and* $g:N\to K$ *be* MC^k*-maps of manifolds. Then* $T(f\circ g)=Tg\circ Tf$.

(ii) If $f:M\to N$ *is an* MC^k*-diffeomorphism, then* $Tf:TM\to TN$ *is a bijection and* $(Tf)^{-1}=T(f^{-1})$.

(iii) Let $f:U\subset E\to V\subset F$ *be a diffeomorphism of open sets of Fréchet spaces. The tangent map* $Tf:U\times F\to V\times E$ *is a local vector bundle isomorphism.*

(iv) If $f:U\subset E\to V\subset F$ *is an* MC^k*-diffeomorphism, then* Tf *is an* MC^{k-1}*-diffeomorphism.*

Proof. (i) $g \circ f$ is MC^k ([7, Lemma B.1 (f)]). Furthermore,

$$T(g \circ f)(j^1_p f) = j^1_{(g\circ f)(p)}(g \circ f \circ f) = Tg(j^1_{f(p)})(f \circ f) = (Tg \circ Tf)(j^1_p f).$$

(ii) By the previous part and definition of the tangent map, $Tf \circ Tf^{-1} = T\mathrm{id}_{TN}$ while $Tf^{-1} \circ Tf = T\mathrm{id}_{TM}$.

(iii) Tf is a local vector bundle morphism. Since f is diffeomorphism it follows that $(Tf)^{-1} = T(f^{-1})$ is a local vector bundle morphism, thus Tf is a vector bundle isomorphism.

(iv) Let C be a curve passing through $u \in U$ such that $\mathrm{D}\, C(0)\cdot 1 = e$ for given $e \in F$. Define the map $\eta(t) : \mathbb{R} \to E$ by $\eta(t) = u + et$ which is tangent to C at $t = 0$. Define $\lambda : U \times F \to T_u M$ by $\lambda(u, e) = j^1_u(\eta(t))$. We have $(Tf \circ \lambda)(u, e) = Tf \cdot j^1_u(\eta(t)) = j^1_{f(u)}(f \circ \eta(t))$. Also we have $(\lambda \circ f')(u, e) = \lambda(f(u), \mathrm{D}\, f(u)\cdot e) = j^1_{f(u)}(f(u) + (\mathrm{D}\, f(u).\, e)t)$. These are equal because the curves $t \mapsto f(u + et)$ and $t \mapsto f(u) + (\mathrm{D}\, f(u).\, e)t$ are tangent at 0, by the definition of the derivative and previous parts. Therefore, $Tf \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ f'$ which means λ identifies $U \times E$ and $T_u M$ correspondingly we can identify f' and Tf. Thus, the previous parts imply the Statement (iv).

□

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\pi_M : TM \to M$ be a tangent bundle. Then the atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ gives rise to a trivializing atlas $\{(\pi^{-1}_M(U_\alpha), T\varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ on TM, with*

$$T\varphi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}_M(U_\alpha) \to \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times F : j^1_p(f) \mapsto (\varphi_\alpha(p), (\varphi_\alpha \circ f)'(0));\ f \in \mathcal{MC}_p(M).$$

This makes TM into a bounded Fréchet manifold modeled on $F \times F$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2. □

The definition of vector bundle for Banach manifolds applies to bounded Fréchet manifolds with evident modifications (see [10]). Note we can define the vector bundle since $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ is a topological group ([7, Proposition 1.2 (f)]).

Theorem 3.1. *TM admits a vector bundle structure over M with fiber of type F and structure group* $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$.

Proof. Consider the above atlas of M and its corresponding trivializing atlas for TM. Let $\pi\mathrm{r}_1, \pi\mathrm{r}_2$ be the projections to the first and the second factors, respectively. For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\pi\mathrm{r}_1 \circ T\varphi_\alpha = \pi_M$, therefore TM is a fibre bundle. Suppose $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3.2 (iii) the overlap map

$$T\varphi_\alpha \circ T\varphi^{-1}_\beta : \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F \to \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F$$

is a local vector bundle isomorphism. Thereby, the transition maps $\Theta_{\alpha\beta} = T\varphi_\alpha \circ T\varphi^{-1}_\beta$ can be considered as taking values in $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$. The following

$$U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to \mathrm{Aut}(F) : p \mapsto (\pi\mathrm{r}_2 \circ \Phi_\alpha \mid_{T_pM} \circ \Phi^{-1}_\beta \mid_{T_pM})$$

is a smooth morphism, hence all conditions of Proposition 1.2 in [10] are verified. Thus, TM is a vector bundle over M. □

Second order tangent bundle. Now that TM is a manifold we can define higher order tangents. Assume $r = 2$ in Conditions (2). Then $T^2_pM = j^2_p$, and $T^2M = \bigcup_{p\in M} T^2_pM$ is the second order tangent bundle over M. Again by virtue of Lemma 3.2 we have a trivializing atlas $\{(\pi^{-1}_{TM}(\pi^{-1}_M(U_\alpha)), \widetilde{\Phi}_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ for T^2M with

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_\alpha : \pi^{-1}_{TM}(\pi^{-1}_M(U_\alpha)) \to \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times F : j^2_p(f) \mapsto (\varphi_\alpha(p), (\varphi_\alpha \circ f)''(0));\ f \in \mathcal{MC}_p(M).$$

T^2_pM can be identified with $F \times F$ under isomorphism:

$$\Psi : T^2_pM \to F \times F :\ j^2_p(f) \mapsto ((\varphi_\alpha \circ f)'(0), (\varphi_\alpha \circ f)''(0)),$$

but fails to be a vector bundle over M because the trivializing isomorphism does not respect the linear structure of the fibres. A submersion $\pi_{12} : T^2M \to TM$ defined by $\pi_{12}(j^2_p(f)) = j^1_p(f)$ is a vector bundle. Let $\pi_2 : T(TM) \to TM$ be an ordinary tangent bundle over TM. The space T^2M coincides with

$$\{\Upsilon \in T(TM) \mid \pi_2(\Upsilon) = T\pi_M(\Upsilon)\}, \tag{3}$$

and can be identified with a submanifold of $T(TM)$, see [11, Proposition 3.2, p. 372]. The bundle $T(TM)$ is a fibre bundle over M with the projection $\pi^2 := \pi_M \circ T\pi_M$. The restriction $\pi^2 |_{T^2M}: T^2M \to M$ is again a fibre bundle.

Let $\pi_i : N_i \to M$, (i = 1,2), be fibre bundles with the same group structure Aut(F). The fibre product is defined as usual. The bundle $T(N_1 \times N_2)$ is canonically isomorphic to $T(N_1) \times T(N_2)$, with structure group Aut($F \times F$). Furthermore, since TN_i are vector bundles their product is Whiteny sum $TN_1 \oplus TN_2$ (see [9],[6]).

4 Connection

For usual Fréchet manifolds we can not define connections by using Vilms [15] point of view for connection on infinite dimensional vector bundles because there is no topological group structure on the space of linear continuous maps. One possible way to define connections is by representing Fréchet manifolds as projective limit of Banach manifolds by requiring the geometric structures to carry through as projective limits (for a survey cf. [1]). Another way which we use in this paper is based on the metric approach formulated in [12].

Henceforth, we follow the formalisms of Section 3 for tangent bundles and second order tangent bundles.

Definition 4.1. *A smooth connection map $\mathcal{K}$ for the tangent bundle $\pi_M : TM \to M$ is a smooth bundle morphism $\mathcal{K} : T(TM) \to TM$ such that there exist smooth maps $\tau_\alpha : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times F \to \mathcal{L}_d(F)$ which give the local representatives of $\mathcal{K}$ by*

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha := \Phi_\alpha \circ \mathcal{K} \circ (\widetilde{\Phi}_\alpha)^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times F \times F \times F \to \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times F,$$
$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(f, g, h, k) = (f, k + \tau_\alpha(f, g) \cdot h)$$

$\mathcal{L}_d(F)$ is topologized by the Metric (1).

A connection on M is a connection map on the tangent bundle $\pi_M : TM \to M$. If M admits smooth partition of unity, then there exits a connection map.

A connection $\mathcal{K}$ is linear if and only if it is linear on the fibres of the tangent map. Locally $T\pi$ is the map $U_\alpha \times F \times F \times F \to U_\alpha \times F$ defined by $T\pi(f, \xi, h, \gamma) = (f, h)$, hence its fibres are locally the spaces $\{f\} \times F \times \{h\} \times F$. Therefore, $\mathcal{K}$ is linear on these fibers if and only if the maps $(g, k) \mapsto k + \tau_\alpha(f, g)h$ are linear, that is the mappings τ_α are linear with respect to the second variable.

Assume that the connection $\mathcal{K}$ is linear and $f \in U_\alpha$. By canonical isomorphism (Lemma 3.1) to $\tau_\alpha(f,.) \in \mathcal{L}(F, \mathcal{L}(F,F))$ is associated the unique local Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_\alpha(p) : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \to \mathcal{L}(F \times F; F)$ satisfying $\Gamma_\alpha(p)(g,h) = \tau_\alpha(p,g)h$. Christoffel symbols satisfy the following compatibility condition (cf. [5]):

$$\Gamma_\alpha(\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(f))(\mathrm{D}\,\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(f)(g), \mathrm{D}\,\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(f)(h)) + (\mathrm{D}^2\,\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(f)(h))(g) = \mathrm{D}\,\Theta_{\alpha\beta}(f)(\Gamma_\beta(f)(g,h)) \tag{4}$$

for all $(f,g,h) \in \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F \times F$. Here $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}$ are the transition maps and D, D^2 are the first and the second differential, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Every linear connection on M induces a vector bundle structure on $\pi^2 \mid_{T^2M}: T^2M \to M$ and gives rise to an isomorphism of this vector bundle with the vector bundle $TM \oplus TM$.*

Proof. If we have a connection, then the connection map $\mathcal{K} : T(TM) \to M$ is defined. The following map

$$\pi_2 \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus T\pi_M : T(TM) \to TM \oplus TM \oplus TM \tag{5}$$

is diffeomorphism (see [3]). The diffeomorphism determines a unique vector bundle structure for $T(TM)$ over M. Let $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ be a chart of M . The induced chart $\{(\pi_M^{-1}(U_\alpha), T\varphi_\alpha)\}$ in TM takes a vector bundle structure by means of the Diffeomorphism (5). Let $\imath : TM \oplus TM \to TM \oplus TM \oplus TM$ be the natural isomorphism. Since T^2M is a submanifold of $T(TM)$ consisting of tangent vectors Υ such that $\pi_2(\Upsilon) = T\pi_M(\Upsilon)$, it follows that the inclusion $\imath$ is the isomorphism onto $(\pi_2 \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus T\pi_M)(T^2M)$, thus

$$\imath^{-1} \circ (\pi_2 \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus T\pi_M)(T^2M) = \pi_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}(T^2M).$$

Hence the diffeomorphism

$$\pi_2 \oplus \mathcal{K} : T^2M \to TM \oplus TM \tag{6}$$

gives the structure of a vector bundle to T^2M. Since T^2M is isomorphic to $TM \oplus TM$, it can be considered as a vector bundle with group structure $\mathrm{Aut}(F \times F)$. ☐

The proof of the following theorem resembles to that of Banach manifolds (see [2, Theorem 2.4]). We just give literally the scheme of proof.

Theorem 4.2. *If T^2M admits a vector bundle structure isomorphic to $TM \oplus TM$, then there exists a linear connection on M.*

Proof. Let $\{(\mathit{\Pi}^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Omega_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a trivializing atlas of T^2M. By hypothesis $\Omega_{\alpha,p} = \Omega^1_{\alpha,p} \times \Omega^2_{\alpha,p}$, where $\Omega^i_{\alpha,p} : \pi_M^{-1}(p) \to F$. Let $(U, \mathit{\Omega})$ be an arbitrary chart such that $U \subseteq U_\alpha$. Define $\mathit{\Omega}_\alpha = \mathit{\Omega} \circ (\Omega^1_{\alpha,p} \circ (\mathrm{D}_x\,\mathit{\Omega})^{-1})$. Then define the Christoffel symbols as fallows:

$$\Gamma_\alpha(y)(u,u) = \Omega^2_{\alpha,p}(j^2_p f) - (\mathit{\Omega}_\alpha \circ f)''(0), \quad y \in \mathit{\Omega}_\alpha(U_\alpha),$$

where f is the representative of the vector u. We demand that Christoffel symbols to be linear. They satisfy the Condition (4) and hence determine a linear connection on M. ☐

As asserted in [14], each linear connection of a trivial Banach vector bundle corresponds in bijective way to an ordinary differential equation in Banach spaces. The same can be proved in our case:

Proposition 4.1. *Each linear connection of the trivial vector bundle $(M \times F, M, \mathrm{pr}_1)$ corresponds bijectively to an ordinary differential equation $\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{A}(t) \cdot x$.*

Proof. Keep the above formalism for connections. Suppose a linear connection is given. Let $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ and (U_β, φ_β) be charts in M. Define a map

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \to \mathcal{L}_d(F) : t \mapsto \Gamma_\alpha(t)(\cdot, 1_M). \tag{7}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ be a map defined as above corresponds to (U_β, φ_β). If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq 0$, then the compatibility conditions imposes the following

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta(t) = (\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1})'(t) \cdot \mathcal{A}_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}). \tag{8}$$

In particular, we set $A \equiv A_1 : M \to \mathcal{L}_d(F)$ when the atlas is (M, id_M) with Christoffel symbol Γ_1. Letting $\alpha = 1$ in (8) yields

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta(t) = (\varphi_\beta^{-1})'(t) \cdot \mathcal{A}(\varphi_\beta^{-1}). \tag{9}$$

Now we can define

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{A}(t) \cdot x; \quad [\mathcal{A}(t)](u) = \Gamma_1(t)(u, 1_M), \forall u \in F.$$

Conversely, for a given equation $\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{A}(t) \cdot x$ we define smooth maps $\mathcal{A}_\beta(t)$ by Equation (9). Now we define the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_\alpha(t)(u, s) = s \cdot [\mathcal{A}_\alpha(t)](u)$. By (8) the defined Christoffel symbols satisfy compatibility condition, therefore, defined symbols determine a linear connection on $(M \times F, M, \mathrm{pr}_1)$.

□

5 Vector fields on TM

A vector filed on M is a cross section $\xi : M \to TM$ of its tangent bundle, i.e. $\pi_M \circ \xi = \mathrm{id}_M$. Let I be an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$. An integral curve of ξ at a point p_0 is a curve $\ell : I \to M$ passing through p_0 such that $\ell'(t) = \xi(\ell(t))$ for each t. For a vector field ξ and a chart $U \subset M \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varphi(U) \subset F$, the principle part $\xi_\varphi : \varphi(U) \to F$ of ξ defined by $\xi_\varphi(\varphi(p)) = \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ T\varphi(\xi_p)$. A curve $\epsilon(t)$ on M is an integral of ξ if $(\varphi \circ \epsilon)'(t) = \xi_\varphi(\varphi \circ \epsilon(t))$. Note that by our definition of differentiability $\ell'(t) = \mathrm{D}_{\ell(t)}(1_\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $U \subseteq F$ be open and let $\xi : U \to F$ be an $MC^k, k \geqslant 1$. Then for $p_0 \in U$, there is an integral curve $\ell : I \to F$ at p_0. Furthermore, any two such curves are equal on the intersection of their domains.*

Proof. Since ξ is MC^k then its is bounded, say by R. Pick a positive real number r such that $\overline{B_r(p_0)} \subseteq U$ and $\| \xi(p) \|_d \leqslant L$ for all $p \in \overline{B_r(p_0)}$. Let $m = \min\{1/R, r/L\}$ and let t_0 be a real number. We shall show that there is a unique MC^1 curve $\ell(t)$, $t \in [t_0 - m, t_0 + m]$ such that it lies in $\overline{B_r(p_0)}$ and

$$\ell'(t) = \xi(\ell(t)), \quad \xi(t_0) = p_0. \tag{10}$$

The Conditions (10) are equivalent to the integral equation

$$\ell(t) = p_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi(\ell(u))du$$

Now define $\ell_n(t)$ by induction

$$\ell_0(t) = p_0, \quad \ell_{n+1}(t) = p_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \xi(\ell_n(u))du.$$

The estimation on the size of integral(see [7, Lemma 1.10]) yields $\ell_n(t) \in \overline{B_r(p_0)}$ for all n and $t \in [t_0 - m, t_0 + m]$. Furthermore,

$$\parallel \ell_{n+1}(t) - \ell_n(t) \parallel_d \leqslant \frac{LR^n}{(n+1)!} \mid t - t_0 \mid^{n+1} .$$

Therefore, ℓ_n converges uniformly to a continuous curve $\ell(t)$ satisfying (10). Now let $\jmath(t)$ be another curve satisfying the Conditions (10). By induction we obtain

$$\parallel \ell_n(t) - \jmath(t) \parallel_d \leqslant \frac{LR^n}{(n+1)!} \mid t - t_0 \mid^{n+1} .$$

Therefore, when $n \to \infty$ the two curves $\ell(t)$ and $\jmath(t)$ are equal; this proves the uniqueness.

□

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose the hypotheses of the previous proposition hold. Then there is an open neighborhood* U_0 *of* p_0*, and a positive real number* α *such that for all* $q \in U_0$ *there exists a unique curve* $\ell(t) = \mathcal{I}_t(q) : (-a, a) \to F$ *satisfying* $\ell'(t) = \xi(\ell(t))$ *for all* $t \in (-a, a)$*, and* $\ell(0) = q$*.*

Proof. Suppose $U_0 = \overline{B_{r/2}(p_0)}$ and $a = \min\{1/R, r/2L\}$. Let q_0 be a fixed point in U_0. Then $\overline{B_{r/2}(q_0)} \subset \overline{B_r(p_0)}$, thereby $\parallel \xi(q) \parallel_d < L$ for all $q \in \overline{B_{r/2}(q_0)}$. By Proposition 5.1 for all $t \in (-\alpha, \alpha)$ there exists an integral curve $\ell(t)$ such that $\ell(0) = q$. □

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\xi : M \to TM$ *be a vector filed. Then there exits an integral curve for* ξ *at* $p \in M$*. Furthermore, any two such curves are equal on the intersection of their domains.*

Proof. The existence follows from Proposition 5.1 by means of local representation. But this is not applicable for the prove of uniqueness as curves may lie in different charts. Let $\rho_i(t) : I_i \to M$ be two integral curves. Let $I = I_1 \cap I_2$ and let $J = \{t \in I \mid \rho_1(t) = \rho_2(t)\}$. Since M is Hausdorff J is closed. By Proposition 5.1 there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\rho(t) = \rho_2(t)$ on $(-\beta, \beta)$. Now define $\delta_1(u) = \rho_1(u + t)$ and $\delta_2(u) = \rho_2(u + t)$ for $t \in J$. They are integral curves with initial conditions $\rho_1(t)$ and $\rho_2(t)$, respectively. By Proposition 5.1 they coincide on a some neighborhood of 0, therefore, J contains an open neighborhood of t, so J is open. Since I is connected it follows that $J = I$.

□

References

[1] C.T.J. Dodson, Some recent work in Fréchet geometry, Balkan J. Geometry and Its Applications **17** (2012), 6-21.

[2] C.T.J. Dodson and G.N. Galanis, Second order tangent bundles of infinite dimensional manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. **52** (2004), 127-136.

[3] P. Dombrowski, Geometry of the tangent bundle, J. Riene Angew. Math. **210** (1962), 73-88.

[4] K. Eftekharinasab, Sard's theorem for mappings between Fréchet manifolds, Ukrainian Math. J. **64** (2010), 1634-1641.

[5] P. Flaschel and W. Klingenberg, Riemannsche Hilbert-mannigfaltigkeiten. Periodische Geodätische, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[6] R. J. Fisher and H. T. Laquer, Second order tangent vectors in Riemannian geometry, J. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 959-1008.

[7] H. Glöckner, Implicit functions from topological vector spaces in the presence of metric estimates, preprint, Arxiv:math/6612673, 2006.

[8] R. S. Hamilton, The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 65-222.

[9] S. Kobayashi, Theory of connection, Annali di Mathematica Pura ed Applicata **43** (1957), 119-194.

[10] S. Lang, Introduction to differentiable manifolds, Interscience, New York, 1962.

[11] P. Libermann and C. M. Marle, Sympletic geometry and analytical mechanics (Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel Publishing Company), 1987.

[12] O. Müller, A metric approach to Fréchet geometry, Journal of Geometry and physics **58** (2008), 1477-1500.

[13] B. Popescu, Infinite dimensional symmetric spaces, P.hD. dissertation, Augsburg, 2005.

[14] E. Vassiliou, Transformations of linear connections. Periodica Mathematica Hungarica **13** (1982), 89-308.

[15] J. Vilms, Connections on tangent bundles, J. Diff. Geometry **1** (1967), 235-243.

Kaveh Eftekharinasab
E-mail: kaveheft@gmail.com